

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,385	06/24/2003	Jayshree Seth	58453US002	1544	
32692	7590 05/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
3M INNOVA	ATIVE PROPERTIES	BRITTAIN	BRITTAIN, JAMES R		
PO BOX 3342	27				
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/602,385	SETH ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	James R. Brittain	3677		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieties or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		·		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fee This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 and 19-26 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	withdrawn from consideration. r election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the second	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/602,385

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 15, 2006 has been entered.

Election/Restriction

Claims 1-9 and 19-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. March 28, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuman et al. (US 2001/0018110) in view of Nestegard (US 4894060).

Tuman et al. (figures 1, 2, 5, 6) teaches that it is desirable to have a fastener usable on clothing that is breathable wherein the final product can have its porosity, elasticity, flexibility, breathability and stiffness affected by the web and therefore adjustment of these variable by adjusting the web properties is desirable [0021] – [0024]. The hook elements extend from the

Application/Control Number: 10/602,385

Art Unit: 3677

substrate so that the ends of the stems are attached to the porous continuous backing. The difference is that the thickness is not specified as being between 50 to 200 microns. It would have been obvious to utilize a thickness in the range of 50 to 200 microns in view of Nestegard (figures 1, 6-9) teaching that it is desirable to utilize a thickness in the range of 50 to 400 microns and preferably 150 microns so as to be properly attachable (col. 3, line 61 – col. 4, line 5). As to claims 11-18, these ranges are obvious over the combined teachings of Tuman et al. and Nestegard as they teach that the level of skill in the art is such that stiffness, backing thickness and hook density were variables to be changed to effect the operation of the fastener and there is no new effect by changing the variables in the manner sought by applicant.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed in response to the last office action have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that a limitation directed to the hook elements being formed so as to be attached to the film avoids Tuman et al. This is not the case since the ends of the stem are secured to the porous web. The claim construction is broad and there is no requirement that the surface between the stems be devoid of nonporous material. There only has to be porous material subjacent the stems and Tuman et al. teaches this.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is (571) 272-7065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

Art Unit: 3677

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at &66-217-9197 (toll-free).

> James R. Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677